Inspection Methods for Characterizing Subsurface Impact Damage in Solid Laminate Aerospace Composites











Stephen Neidigk, Dennis Roach, Tom Rice, Randy Duvall FAA Airworthiness Assurance Center Sandia National Labs





Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-program laboratory managed and operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.



# **Presentation Outline**

#### **Introduction and Background**



#### Motivation



Ice Impact Damage on Laminate Plates





FAA William J. Hughes Technical Center



Side-by-Side Inspection Comparison of NDI Techniques



Full-Scale Panel Impact Testing • Simulated Hail

- Blunt
- Hardened



# <u>Program Motivation</u> - Extensive/increasing use of composites on commercial aircraft and increasing use of NDI to inspect them

Composite Structures on Boeing 787 Aircraft

- Carbon laminate
- **Carbon sandwich**
- Fiberglass
- Aluminum
- Aluminum/steel/titanium pylons







**Program Goals: Assess & Improve Flaw Detection Performance in Composite Aircraft Structure** 





# **Sources of Damage in Composite Structure**



Lightning Strike on Thrust Reverser Ground Support Equipment Impact





**Bird Strike** 





FAA William J. Hughes Technical Center

# Towing Damage





## **Inspection Challenge – Hidden Impact Damage**

Internal delamination from ice impact

#### Extent of visible damage





**Damage from ground vehicle** 

#### Extent of Visible Damage from Outside

Significant Internal Damage



FAA William J. Hughes Technical Center

Source: Carlos Bloom (Lufthansa) & S. Waite (EASA)



# **AANC Composite Programs**

- Industry wide NDI Reference Standards
- NDI Assessment: Honeycomb Structures
- NDI Assessment: Solid Laminate Structures
- Composite Heat, UV, and Fluid Ingress Damage
- Composite Repairs and Porosity
- Composite NDI Training and NDI Proficiency Specimens

#### **Composite Impact Study**

Multiple impact parameters must be studied – hardness of impactor, low mass-high velocity impact, high mass-low velocity impact, angle of impact, surface demarcations & visual clues, panel stiffness

- Identify which impact scenarios are of major concern to aircraft maintenance
- Identify key parameters governing impact damage formation
- Relate damage threat & structural integrity to capabilities of NDI to detect hidden impact damage in laminates
- Develop methodology for impact threat characterization







# Ice Impact Testing at UCSD









**UCSD High Velocity Gas Gun** 

Still Images from 61 mm Ice Impact on 8 Ply Carbon Panel at 72 m/s



Joint Effort: UCSD (Prof. Hyonny Kim)

#### Damage in Composite Laminates from Ice Impact



#### Failure Threshold (Energy) Velocity



IMPACT SIDE

Impact-Induced Damage Morphology for 8 Ply Panel; 42.7 mm Ice at 120.4 m/s (267 J)

Selected panels were sectioned and observed by microscopy to map out the damage. The laminates develop the series of classic peanut shaped delaminations/fractures that stack together to give the

overall appearance shown in the scans





# Composite Impact Study – Hail Impact Task Description

- 112 carbon composite panels were fabricated using BMS8-276N uniaxial material; consisted of 8, 16, and 24 ply configurations (12" x 12")
- All panels were impacted with ice balls of different diameters and velocities to simulate hail and create various levels of impact damage
- The goal was to create damage associated with Failure Threshold ~ BVID range & complete NDI to evaluate the sensitivity of each method in detecting and sizing the damaged area (reliable, sensitive, gate deployment, cost effective)
- NDI methods used for this evaluation include: Through Transmission Ultrasonics (TTU), Phased Array UT, Pulse-Echo UT, Resonance, Flash Thermography, Damage Checker (PE-UT), Mechanical Impedance Analysis, Low Frequency Bond Test





FAA William J. Hughes Technical Center

Joint Effort: UCSD (Prof. Hyonny Kim)



# **Composite Impact Damage – Inspection Methods Deployed**



TTU



Thermography



**MAUS PE** 



MAUS Resonance



FAA William J. Hughes **Technical Center** 



**MAUS MIA** 



# **Composite Impact Damage – Inspection Methods Deployed**





(Mechanical Impedance

Analysis)



MAUS LFBT



**Omniscan Phased Array UT** 



**Damage Check Device** (Pulse-Echo UT)





Impact Energy (J) - <u>525.1</u>

 Flaw Size MAUS PE (mm²) - 37,128

 Flaw Size Omniscan PE (mm²) - 28,380

 Flaw Size TTU UCSD (mm²) - 26439

 Picture
 TTU



IR





**MAUS** Resonance



Laser UT





#### **Example Result**

Impact Velocity (m/s) - 212.44

Projectile Size (mm) - 38.1

**MAUS PE** 



**Omni PE** 



Omni PA



Ramp Damage Checker (flaw indicated)











Impact Energy (J) - <u>1,268.1</u>

 Flaw Size MAUS PE (mm²) - 9,413

 Flaw Size Omniscan PE (mm²) - 9,439

 Flaw Size TTU UCSD (mm²) - 8,022

 Picture
 TTU







FAA William J. Hughes

**Technical Center** 

**MAUS** Resonance





#### **Example Result**

Impact Velocity (m/s) - 153.46

Projectile Size (mm) - 61

**MAUS PE** 



Omni PA





**Omni PE** 



Ramp Damage Checker (flaw indicated)









# Full-Scale Fuselage Test Panel Fabrication

#### Not flat, simple structures



**Skin - Curved Construction** 



Autoclave Cured (350° F at 90 psi)



Quasi-Isotropic Lay Up [0,+45,90.-45]<sub>2(s)</sub>

T800 unidirectional pre-preg tape with a 3900 series resin system (BMS8- 276)





### **Full-Scale Fuselage Test Panels**









# **C-Scan Inspection Interpretation**





FAA William J. Hughes Technical Center

Select Impact Damage Examples



# **Comparison of NDI Techniques**



**TOF and Resonance enhance detection of small disbonds** 





# **Ice Impact Testing Results**



**UT Resonance Y-Plot** 

2.4 in diameter simulated hail impact tests were conducted between 50 and 120 m/s.

#### Terminal velocity ~ 30 to 35 m/s)

- Induce both interply delamination and substructure disbonding
- No damage was visually detectable from the surface
- Damage was initiated at approximately 230 Joules (~67 m/s)







# **Ice Impact Testing Results**

- Induce both interply delamination and substructure disbonding (mostly flange disbonding)
- No damage was visually detectable from the surface
- Possible to initiate damage at less than 400 Joules



- Induce built-up pad section delamination and cracked shear ties
- Damage was visually detectable from the surface (cracks, surface markings at approximately 700 Joules (115 m/s))



All shear tie impacts cracked the impacted shear tie





# **Significant Damage with No Visual Indication** 40 inch stringer disbond **Co-cured** stringer



FAA William J. Hughes Technical Center 54 in<sup>2</sup> Interply delamination



#### •AANC Composite Impact Studies Include:

Identifying impact scenarios of concern

Identifying key parameters governing impact damage

Characterizing impact damage below the BVID level

Relating damage threat to capabilities of NDI

•NDI ability to detect impact damage was assessed in FTE ~ BVID range  $\rightarrow$  sensitivity, sizing, procedures, deployment

#### The presented work shows that...

- This structure is robust against hail impact
- Large damage can occur with no surface visual indication
- Impacts can initiate substructure damage away from the impact site
- Substructure impacts induce damage at less energy than mid-bay impacts
- Hard tip impacts induce localized, near surface damage that are typically visibly detectable from the surface (depends on tip diameter and hardness)

#### Ongoing efforts...

- Subsurface damage can be difficult to detect with conventional NDI (ref. AANC SLE POD)
- Characterized panels are being used to assess emerging NDI technologies





Sponsors and Collaborators

Thanks to our sponsors and collaborators

- Dave Westlund FAA TC
- Rusty Jones FAA
- Professor Hyonny Kim UC San Diego
- Jacqui Lee UC San Diego



